1	KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON L	LP		
2	NANCY L. STAGG (State Bar No. 157034) nstagg@kilpatricktownsend.com			
3	12255 El Camino Real, Suite 250 San Diego, CA 92130 Telephone: (858) 350 6156			
4	Telephone: (858) 350-6156 Facsimile: (858) 350-6111			
5	X. DIEGO WU MIN (State Bar No. 317488) dwu@kilpatricktownsend.com			
6	12255 El Camino Real, Suite 250 San Diego, CA 92130			
7	Telephone: (858) 350-6154 Facsimile: (858) 350-6111			
8	1 acsimile. (050) 550-0111			
9	Attorneys for Defendant DIGITAL RECOGNITION NETWORK, INC.			
10	DIGITAL RECOONTTION NET WORK, INC.			
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
12	FOR THE COUN	TY OF SAN DIEGO		
13				
14	GUILLERMO MATA, individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated,	Case No. 37-2021-00023321-CU-MC-CTL		
15	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT DIGITAL RECOGNITION NETWORK, INC.'S ANSWER TO		
16	V.	PLAINTIFF'S CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT		
17	DIGITAL RECOGNITION NETWORK,			
18	INC., a Delaware corporation,	Complaint Filed: May 26, 2021 Complaint Served: July 23, 2021		
19	Defendant.			
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28		- 1 -		
	DRN'S ANSWER TO CLASS ACTION COMP	PLAINT, CASE NO. 37-2021-00023321-CU-MC-CTL		

1	Defendant Digital Recognition Network, Inc. ("DRN"), by and through its undersigned
2	counsel, hereby answers Plaintiff Guillermo Mata's ("Mata") Class Action Complaint for (1)
3	Violation of Cal. Civ. Code §1798.90.5 et seq. as follows:
4	GENERAL DENIAL
5	Pursuant to Cal. Code Civ. Proc. §431.30, DRN generally denies each and every claim and
6	allegation set forth in the Complaint, including any claims or allegations that Mata has suffered or
7	is entitled to recover damages, attorneys' fees, costs, or other monetary or non-monetary relief
8	from DRN.
9	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES
10	DRN affirmatively states the following separate defenses without assuming the burden of
11	proof that otherwise would rest with Plaintiff:
12	First Affirmative Defense
13	(Failure to State a Claim)
14	The Complaint fails to state a claim against DRN upon which relief may be granted.
15	Second Affirmative Defense
16	(Statute of Limitations)
17	The Complaint and each cause of action stated therein are barred by the applicable statute of
18	limitations, including, without limitation, California Code of Civil Procedure §§ 312, 338, 335.1,
19	and 343 and California Government Code § 12960, et seq.
20	Third Affirmative Defense
21	(Unclean Hands/Waiver/Laches/Estoppel)
22	The Complaint and each cause of action stated therein are barred by the doctrines of
23	unclean hands, waiver, laches and estoppel.
24	Fourth Affirmative Defense
25	(Good Faith)
26	The Complaint and each cause of action stated therein are barred because DRN acted in
27	good faith at all times, had reasonable grounds to believe that its conduct did not violate the law,
28	and in fact it has not willfully violated any law or regulation, and has acted without malice or
	- 2 - DRN'S ANSWER TO CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT, CASE NO. 37-2021-00023321-CU-MC-CTL

1	reckless indifference to the rights of Mata.
2	Fifth Affirmative Defense
3	(Consent)
4	The Complaint, and each cause of action stated therein, is barred because Mata consented
5	to the actions of DRN which he now complains of in the Complaint.
6	Sixth Affirmative Defense
7	(Privilege/Justification)
8	The Complaint, and each cause of action stated therein, is barred because DRN's alleged
9	conduct, as set forth therein, was fully justified or privileged, or both, under the circumstances.
10	Seventh Affirmative Defense
11	(Failure to Mitigate Damages)
12	Mata's damages, if any, are barred or diminished by reason of his failure to mitigate those
13	alleged damages.
14	Eighth Affirmative Defense
15	(No Duty)
16	The Complaint and each cause of action stated therein, are barred because DRN did not
17	owe Mata a duty. If DRN did owe Mata a duty, DRN did not breach its duty to Mata.
18	Nineth Affirmative Defense
19	(No Entitlement to Punitive Damages)
20	Mata cannot recover punitive or exemplary damages because he has failed to plead and
21	cannot establish facts sufficient to support an award of punitive damages pursuant to California
22	Civil Code § 3294.
23	Tenth Affirmative Defense
24	(Additional Affirmative Defenses)
25	DRN has insufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to whether
26	there may be additional affirmative defenses, and thereby reserve its rights to assert additional
27	affirmative defenses in the event discovery indicates such defenses would be appropriate.
28	
	- 3 - DRN'S ANSWER TO CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT, CASE NO. 37-2021-00023321-CU-MC-CTL

1	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
2	WHEREFORE, DRN respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment against Mata and	
3	in favor of DRN in connection with all claims for relief in the Complaint, and award DRN its	
4	reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, and for such other and further relief as the Court deems just	
5	and proper.	
6	DATED: August 8, 2022 KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP	
7		
8	By: Miego Mu	
9	X. DIEGO WU MIN	
10	Attorney for Defendant DIGITAL RECOGNITION NETWORK, INC.	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	- 4 -	
	DRN'S ANSWER TO CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT, CASE NO. 37-2021-00023321-CU-MC-CTL	

	PROOF OF SERVICE			
	[C.C.P. §§ 1011 and 1013, C.R.C. § 2008]			
	I declare I am employed in the City and County of San Diego, California in the office of Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP, 12255 El Camino Real, Suite 250, San Diego, California 2130.			
Ν	served the following document entitled: DEFENDANT DIGITAL RECOGNITION NETWORK, INC.'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT on the interested parties in this action as follows:			
	Raley BalabanianTelephone:415 212 9300rbalabanian@edelson.comFacsimile:415 373 9435Aaron Lawsonalawson@edelson.comFacsimile:415 373 9435Yaman Salahiysalahi@edelson.comEDELSON PC150 California Street, 18 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111Floor			
 [By First Class Mail] I am readily familiar with my employer's practice for collecting and processing documents for mailing with the United States Postal Service. On the date listed herein, following ordinary business practice, I served the within document(s) at my place of business, by placing a true copy thereof, enclosed in a sealed envelope, with postage thereon fully prepaid, for collection and mailing with the United States Postal Service where it would be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day in the ordinary course of business. [By Overnight Courier] I caused each envelope to be delivered by a commercial carrier service for overnight delivery to the offices of the addressee(s). [By Hand] I directed each envelope to the party(ies) so designated on the service list 				
	o be delivered by courier this date. By Facsimile Transmission] I caused said document to be sent by facsimile ransmission to the fax number indicated for the party(ies) listed above.			
tr	[By Electronic Transmission] I caused said document to be sent by electronic ransmission to the e-mail address indicated for the party(ies) listed above.			
fo	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the oregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on August 8, 2022.			
	Margan & Chapman			
	Margaret R. Chapman			
11				